Order Type:

Findings Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

People or environmental receptors have been exposed to pollutants which exceed levels that are protective.

Media:

IWD

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Weatherford U.S., L.P., located approximately 0.75 mile west of U.S. Highway 290 and two miles east of Eldridge Road on Spencer Road, Houston, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Research and development facility with an associated wastewater treatment plant

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: May 31, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$49,302

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$0 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$49,302

Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A **SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: August 16, 2012 - October 17, 2012 and October 22, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): November 7, 2012

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits for Outfall No. 001 [Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0004760000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1, 2, and 3, 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)].
- 2. Failed to submit a notice of change and to obtain a permit amendment when a significant change in the quantity and/or quality of the existing discharge occurs [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Permit Conditions No. 4.d. and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1)].
- 3. Failed to provide at least 20 minutes (based on peak flow) of detention time in the chlorine contact chamber [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 2 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1)].
- 4. Failed to install the flow measurement device in accordance with the Water Measurement Manual, U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Operational Requirements No. 5 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and 319.11(d)].
- 5. Failed to accurately complete the discharge monitoring reports ("DMRs") [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and 319.4].
- 6. Failed to submit noncompliance notifications for any effluent violation which deviates from the permitted effluent limitation by greater than 40% in writing to the Regional Office and the Enforcement Division within five working days of becoming aware of the noncompliance [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 7.c. and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1)].
- 7. Failed to take remedial actions to ensure effluent quality would meet total copper limits prior to the final effluent limitations becoming effective [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Other Requirements No. 6 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1)].
- 8. Failed to timely submit the monthly DMRs by the 20th day of the following month for the months of July and August 2012 [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.125(1) and 319.7(d)].

9. Failed to obtain authorization to discharge wastewater into water in the state [TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Other Requirements No. 8 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. By October 19, 2012, submitted the monthly DMRs for the months of July and August 2012;
- b. By October 24, 2012, replaced the flow measurement device at the Facility;
- c. By December 10, 2012:
- i. Updated the Facility's operational guidance and conducted employee training to ensure that self-reporting requirements are properly accomplished including the timely submittal of signed certified monthly DMRs, and written reports for effluent violations which deviate by more than 40% from the permitted limit; and
- ii. Submitted revised DMRs for the period of May 2010 through June 2012.
- d. By December 13, 2012:
- i. Submitted the noncompliance notifications for the ammonia nitrogen exceedance for the monitoring periods of January 2012 and July 2012; and
- ii. Submitted an administratively complete application for a permit amendment for TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, to include any modifications to the collection system and any unauthorized storm water discharges.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days:
- i. Determine what the sources are for the high concentration of chemical oxygen demand and volatiles and semi-volatiles in the influent as indicated during the samples taken on October 26, 2011 and August 17, 2012 and submit a notice of change accordingly; and

- ii. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit application within 30 days after the date of such requests or by any other deadline specified in writing.
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision a.
- c. Within 90 days, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations.
- d. Within 105 days, begin providing 20 minutes of detention time in the chlorine contact chamber.
- e. Within 120 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provision d.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEO Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Jorge Ibarra, P.E., Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC R-04, (817) 588-5890; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: James L. Drone, Vice President and Assistant Secretary, Weatherford

U.S., L.P., 11909 Spencer Road, Houston, Texas 77041

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) Assigned 12-Nov-2012 Screening 19-Nov-2012 PCW 28-Mar-2013 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Weatherford U.S., L.P. Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102586088 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 12-Houston **CASE INFORMATION** No. of Violations 10 Enf./Case ID No. 45474 Docket No. 2012-2354-IWD-E Order Type Findings Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E. Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 3 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$30,250 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History 65.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$19,662 Enhancement for one order without denial of liability and eight months of Notes self-reported effluent violations. Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$1,137 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount **Total EB Amounts** \$5,889 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$76.050 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$48,775 \$527 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 1.1% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes associated with Violation No. 8. Final Penalty Amount \$49,302 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$49,302 Adjustment \$0 0.0% Reduction Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction. Notes No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.

\$49,302

PAYABLE PENALTY

Docket No. 2012-2354-IWD-E

Respondent Weatherford U.S., L.P.

Case ID No. 45474

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102586088
Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Jorge Ibarra, P.E.

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	8	40%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		25%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Pie	ease Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
:	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (No	Subtotal 3) Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
nlianca Hieta	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
		and the second sections of the	CONTRACTOR
Satisfac	tory Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
ipliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one order without denial of liability and eight months of self-reviolations.	ported effluent	
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2, .	3, & 7)
	listory Adjustment		

Screening Date 19		PCW
		Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. 45 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN		CW Revision August 3, 2011
Media [Statute] wa		
Enf. Coordinator Jor		
Violation Number	1	
Rule Cite(s)	Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No.	
- 1	VQ0004760000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1, 2, a	nd
	3, 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)	
		=-
Violation Description	Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits for Outfall No. 001. See attache	d ·
Violation Description	Effluent Limit Violation Table.	
· ·	Base Pena	lty \$25,000
• •		
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Matrix Harm	and the second s
Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual	X	-
Potential	Percent 5.0%	P (Salada)
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
75	Percent 0.0%	a months of the
		
	el was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen and carbonaceous biochemical oxyg	
demand ("CBOD	D") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded level	S
	ıman health or the environment. Total suspended solids, flow, pH, total copper idual were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or th	
	s been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed leve	
	that are protective of human health or environmental receptors.	Valuation of the
		and the state of t
	Adjustment \$23,7	50
	Adjustment \$23,7	
	Adjustment \$23,7	\$1,250
Violation Events	Adjustment \$23,7	
Violation Events Number of Viola		
	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly	
Number of Viola	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly	\$1,250
Number of Viola mark only one with an x	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly x Violation Base Pena	\$1,250
Number of Viola mark only one with an x	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly	\$1,250
Number of Viola mark only one with an x	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual Violation Base Pena	\$1,250
Number of Viola mark only one with an x	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	\$1,250
Number of Viola mark only one with an x	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly x violation Base Penalemiannual annual ingle event	\$1,250
Number of Viola mark only one with an x	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly youarterly x Semiannual annual ingle event Three quarterly events are recommended.	\$1,250
Number of Viola mark only one with an x	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly youarterly x Violation Base Penal annual annual lingle event Three quarterly events are recommended. Three quarterly events are recommended.	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly youarterly x yiolation Base Pena annual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Three quarterly events are recommended.	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly y x Yiolation Base Pena semiannual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Three quarterly events are recommended.	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly x semiannual annual lingle event	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly y x Yiolation Base Pena semiannual annual single event Three quarterly events are recommended. Three quarterly events are recommended.	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly yearterly x semiannual annual lingle event	\$1,250
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly yearterly x semiannual annual lingle event	\$1,250 \$3,750 \$0
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply Ext	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly x semiannual annual lingle event	\$1,250 \$3,750 \$0
Mumber of Viola mark only one with an x S SI Good Faith Efforts to Comply	ation Events 3 229 Number of violation days daily weekly	\$1,250 \$3,750 \$0 \$3,750

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.		J.S., L.P.		AN ALL SANGE	5	Andrew Communication (1997) and the second s	ek ar i me kuluan kunan darah kun Musuku u ana kun i k
	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	1						March 2015 September 5 12 Sept. 1 4 Sept.
						5.0 Onetime Costs	15 EB Amount
		Date Required	Final Date	TIS	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	ED AMOUNT
Item Description	No commas or \$						
	CHAROLINA AND PROPERTY	es decreti abeltalen seguitera da par	consequences de la consequencia de	r Registros Right	and the control of th	STREET TO STORE SECTION STREET	EDER GARAGOS EN Ó A
Delayed Costs				1	1 12	- 40	40
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$50,000	1-Jan-2012	15-Jul-2013	1.54	\$3,842	n/a	\$3,842
Notes for DELAYED costs	to the Facilit	y to ensure compl complianc	lance with pern e; and the final	nitted e date is	ffluent limits. Dat the expected con	•	t date of non-
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs detore			for one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u> </u>
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	50
Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs		1					
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$50,000			TOTAL		\$3,842

	ening Date		and the second s	ret No. 2012-2354-IWD-E	PC
		Weatherford U.S., L.P.			Policy Revision 3 (September 2)
Reg. Ent. Re	Case ID No. ference No.				PCW Revision August 3, 2
	a [Statute]				
		Jorge Ibarra, P.E.			
Viol	ation Number Rule Cite(s)				
	itale diec(b)			fluent Limitations and Monito § 305.125(1) and Tex. Wate (a)	
Violatio	n Description	Failed to comply wit	th permitted effluent lin Effluent Limit Viol	nits for Outfall No. 001. See a	ettached
	'			Bas	se Penalty \$25,
>> Environme	ntal, Proper	ty and Human He	ealth Matrix rm		İ
	Release		erate Minor		
OR	Actual	×		Borcont 30.0%	I
	Potential			Percent 30.0%	ĺ
>>Programma					
	Falsification	Major Mode	erate Minor	Percent 0.0%	
	L				
1965 1977	A simplified r	model was used to eval	uate ammonia nitroger	and CBOD to determine who	ether the
Matrix	discharged an	nounts of pollutants ex	ceeded levels protective	e of human health or the env	ironment.
Notes				these discharges, human head d levels that are protective of	
	environinen		th or environmental rec		Tiulilaii
			10 mm	Adjustment	\$17,500
					\$7,5
Violation Event	's f		n-		<u> </u>
	and the state of t	/iolation Events 1		31 Number of violation	davs
		daily			
		weekly x			
	mark only one with an x	quarterly		Violation Bas	e Penalty \$7,5
		semiannual annual			
		single event			
*		One monthly event is	s recommended for the	month of March 2012	
		One monday events	, recommended for the	monar of Flaren 2012.	
		•			
Good Faith Effo	orts to Comp	DIY Before	0.0% Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settl	ement Offer	
		Extraordinary			
		Ordinary			
		N/A	((mark with x)		
		Notes The Re	espondent does not me this viol	et the good faith criteria for ation.	
		**************************************		Violation	Subtotal \$7,5
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test
	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$0	Violation Final Pena	alty Total \$12,5
		Th	ils violation Final Ass	essed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits) \$12,50
		The state of the s			

	Eco	onomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent We Case ID No. 454	atherford U.S	Balling and a season and season in a calculation of a			ang ting ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	ang katabah nggan taga mengeran kana	
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN Media Wa						Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No. 2	and page the street fail felt	er reger en de de la company de la compa	National Control of the Affrica	£5043500,684	an acyahnian akkasia	1	riena distribi. Sitti ritane accellusi ini ine tuta
The second se	react Service Se					5.0 Onetime Costs	15 EB Amount
		ate Required	Final Date	TIS.	Interest Saveo	Unetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description No	commas or \$						
	.Balan atau Markata	sagasta o karanje da	5.20072.4000566666	residence.			Sa Para Sala Bara
Delayed Costs Equipment		I		1 0.00	\$0	\$0 T	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZ	E [1] avoided			t for Violation No.	1. for one-time avoid	ed costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$0			TOTAL		\$0

Scre	ening Date	19-Nov-2012	Docket	No. 2012-2354-IWD-E		PCW
		Weatherford U.S., L.P.				on 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Re	Case ID No. ference No.				PCW K	evision August 3, 2011
	a [Statute]					
		Jorge Ibarra, P.E.				
Viol	ation Number Rule Cite(s)					
on controlled and the second and the		TPDES Permit No. WQ	0004760000, Permit Con Code § 305.12!	ditions No. 4.d. and 30 Tex 5(1)	c. Admin.	
Violatio	on Description	significant change in t Specifically, the investi that a very high orga samples collected or concentration of cher	the quantity and/or quality gator documented that in gator documented that in nic load is coming into the noctober 26, 2011 and A	tain a permit amendment ty of the existing discharge offluent varies significantly e Facility. Additionally, the August 17, 2012 contained d a few volatiles and semi- amendment.	occurs. Indicating Influent a high	
occordance respectively.				Base	e Penalty[\$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Proper	ty and Human Hea Hari				
	Release	Major Moder				
OR	Actual Potential			Percent 0.0%		
>>Programma	tic Matrix	en e				
	Falsification	Major Moder	ate Minor	Percent 5.0%		
	L	<u> </u>		Percent 5.0%		
Matrix Notes		100% of th	ne rule requirement was	not met.		
				Adjustment	\$23,750	
					Γ	\$1,250
					-	
Violation Event	. 5					
	Number of \	/iolation Events 1	39	Number of violation of	days	
	mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x		Violation Base	e Penalty [\$1,250
		One si	ngle event is recommend	ded.		
Good Faith Effo	orts to Com	ply 0	.0% Reduction	n in de la company		\$0
enement of the state of the sta		Before I	The state of the s	ent Offer		
		Extraordinary Ordinary				выменением
		N/A x	(mark with x)			voustoree
		Notes The Res	pondent does not meet t this violation	the good faith criteria for		Administracycyclyddyddyddyddyddyddyddyddyddyddyddyddydd
		€ consequence and a consequence of the consequence		Violation	Subtotal	\$1,250
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$583	Violation Final Pena	Ity Total	\$2,085
**************************************		Thi	s violation Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits)	\$2,085
		4 8 8 1		(uujusted to		42/003

TO THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON O	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	45474	•	and to secure to compare the second of the s		eric, et la qui n'estacanne despesa establica (Calaba)	emeningan patriki kalengaserini an Ywe 1998 et	WE, 201111
Media Violation No.	Water Quality 3					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$				Single of the said		
Delayed Costs			i ni				
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$7,500	26-Oct-2011	15-May-2013	1.55 0.00	\$583 \$0	n/a n/a	\$583 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	quantity and	or quality of the	existing dischar	ge and	the high organic l	ess the significant choose the coming into the ected date of complete.	Facility. Date
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			or one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs				<u> 0.00</u>	<u> </u>	30 1	30
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$7,500			TOTAL		\$583

[1] J. Chen, J. Stein, A. A. M. H. M. M. M. M. G. M. G. 1990, Phys. Lett. B 50, 120 (1990).	CONTRACTOR	19-Nov-2012	Docket	No. 2012-2354-IWD-E		PCW
		Weatherford U.S., L.P.				on 3 (September 2011)
	Case ID No.				PCW R	evision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Rei	rerence No. a [Statute]					
		Jorge Ibarra, P.E.				
[4] A. M.	ation Number					
	Rule Cite(s)		NOOCO 750000 750			
	• •	IPDES Permit No. V		nt Limitations and Monitor min. Code § 305.125(1)	ing .	To a second
		Kequirements	No. 2 and 30 rex. Adi	mm. Code 9 303.123(1)		and the state of t
		Failed to provide at least	20 minutes (based on	peak flow) of detention tir	ne in the	To the state of th
		chlorine contact chamber.				A COLOR
Violatio	n Description	i)		tion time at a flow of 0.01		AND THE STATE OF T
	:	n		y average flow limit is 0.0	108 MGD	and the state of t
		and th	e dally maximium flow	IS 0.0432 MGD.		Character
		L				
				Base	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme	ntal. Proper	ty and Human Healt	h Matrix			**************************************
		Harm				**************************************
	Release		Minor			and the second s
OR	Actual Potential			Percent 5.0%		
	Potential	<u>L X</u>		Fercent 3.0%		
>>Programma	tic Matrix		10			THE STATE OF THE S
7	Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	Suppose and one		
				Percent 0.0%		numprova del
	[m. 11 4		- Maria Carlo de la calendario		1. 1. 4	000000
Matrix		vide 20 minutes of detention gnificant amounts of pollute				**************************************
Notes	release of si	human health or environm			icave or	
	<u> </u>					
	Anna S			Adjustment	\$23,750	,
					Г	\$1,250
					L.	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Violation Event	:s					- Committee of the Comm
ii da	Number of \	Violation Events 1	1 61	Number of violation of	tave	
800	Number of V	Notation Events 1			2073	
		daily				
		weekly				
	mark only one	monthly	_			44.050
	with an x	quarterly x		Violation Base	Penalty	\$1,250
The state of the s		semiannual annual				
		single event				
		· L				
	One guarteri	y event is recommended fro	om the date the dve te	st was conducted. Septem	ber 19.	Approximation of the control of the
Page 1			ening date of Novembe			
74.70000 months	L					and the same of th
Good Faith Effo	orts to Comp	ply 0.09	Reduction			\$0
www.i.r.gaard		Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlemen	nt Offer		WWW.dambayana
proba decidente		Extraordinary				**************************************
And the second s		Ordinary X	(mark with x)			***************************************
P-4-1000000						Wy Till Andre et exe
14 doloro		Notes The Respo	ndent does not meet th this violatio	ne good faith criteria for		COLLEGE COLLEG
mar P Processors			ans violatio	"		ber est to receive
Preproposation				Violation	Subtotal	\$1,250
						41/230
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
Porticipation of the Control of the	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$519	Violation Final Pena	ity Total	\$2,085
Description of the second of t						
		This	inlation Final Accord	ed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limitel	\$2,085

		conomic	Reneut	440	rksneet		
	t Weatherford (J.S., L.P.					
Case ID No.	78						
eg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102586088	3					
Media	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	. 4						Depreciation
facilities and the second						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
ttem bescription	I NO COMMINAS OF S						
B. L	2.1004599555545753555	Market Co. Bertoliki					in territorio del con-
Delayed Costs Equipment	\$5,000	19-Sep-2012	1-Aug-2013	0.87	\$14	\$289	\$303
Equipment Buildings	\$5,000	19-360-2012	1-A00-2013	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	19-Sep-2012	1-Aug-2013	0.87	\$216	n/a	\$216
	I Estimated of	ost to provide 20	minutes of dete	ention t	time in the chloring	e contact chamber, i	ncluding any
Notes for DELAYED costs	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	invest compl	igation date; final lance.	e contact chamber, i dates are the expec	ted date of
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	invest compl	igation date; final lance.		ted date of
. Maari 1977 oo o	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	invest compl	igation date; final lance. ng item (except) \$0	dates are the expection one-time avoid \$0	ted date of
Avoided Costs	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	invest compli enterli 0.00 0.00	igation date; final lance. ng item (except) \$0 \$0	dates are the expection for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	ted date of led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00	igation date; final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ted date of led costs
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	omplication of the complication of the complic	igation date; final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	nvest compliants 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	igation date; final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ted date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	igation date; final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	## solution ##
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	nvest compliants 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	igation date; final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ted date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel respection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	equipmen	t needed. Dates r	equired are the	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	igation date; final lance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$

	ening Date		Docket	No. 2012-2354-IWD-E		PCW
A control of the c	· 1. 17 · 1. 18 · 1.	Weatherford U.S., L.P.				3 (September 2011)
	Case ID No.				PCW Revi	sion August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Re	rerence No. a [Statute]					
		Jorge Ibarra, P.E.				A Department
\$1.5 miles 1.5 m	ation Number					00000
	Rule Cite(s)		004760000 0	al Danillananaha Na E and	20 Tay	The state of the s
		I PDES Permit No. WQL	iin. Code §§ 305.125(1	al Requirements No. 5 and	30 lex.	WOOL AND
		Nui	code 33 303.123(1	, una 313.11(u)		and a second
Violatio	on Description	Measurement Manu Reclamation. Specifically 6 times the measu	al, United States Depai , the two staff gauges ring head upstream fro	ce in accordance with the Northern of the Interior Bure on the weir box were not in the center of the weir blue inch difference from each	au of estalled 4- ade.	
Backerack				Base	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Proper	ty and Human Heal	th Matrix			-
	Security Control of Co	Harm				
OR	Release		te Minor			Peranopeoper
UK	Actual Potential		X	Percent 3.0%		PARAMORPHY PAY
	, orallian	<u> </u>				Allelia erypono
>>Programma						
	Falsification	Major Modera	te Minor	Percent 0.0%		
	L			Percent 0.0%		To all many of the control of the co
						and the state of t
Matrix				result in the release of insi- re protective of human hea		THIRD BANCO AND A STATE OF THE
Notes	aniounts		eceptors as a result of		aici oi	
of security	L					
				Adjustment	\$24,250	
SE						\$750
Violation Event	te					
TIOIGGION EVEN						
	Number of \	Violation Events 1	9	Mumber of violation	days	
Company of the Compan						
over the state of		daily weekly				or resident
		monthly	_			
page description	mark only one	quarterly		Violation Base	Penalty	\$750
We will have a second and a second a second and a second	with an x	semiannual			- tunumum	
ops and an area of		annual				i and
m-1-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-		single event x				And you want of the
parameter and the second secon	r				1	**************************************
		One sin	gle event is recommend	ded.		From the second
						e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
						\$187
Good Faith Effo	orts to Com	Before NO	Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settleme	ent Offer		\$107
* Paderwood		Extraordinary Extraordinary				to the second service
The state of the s		Ordinary x				riprisocenes
		N/A	(mark with x)			A PROPERTY IN
Way Subandood vo		The Re	spondent achieved com	pliance by October 24,		takoora ad hilagon
entant (questo)		Notes	2012 for this vi			-th-francos
and the state of t						thresh for muss
W-000000				Violation	Subtotal	\$563
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$5]	Violation Final Pena	Ity Total	\$1,062
ar vary		991. 1 -				
		inic	violation Final Accec	sed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits)	\$1,062

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	45474		and the state of t		ggg g dermit til gjenne fil gjenne fil foreklet for det fil	And the second of the second	
	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
2.2.2.5.346						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$				And the state of t		
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$500	17-Aug-2012	24 0+ 2012	0.00	\$0 \$5	n/a n/a	\$5 \$5
Notes for DELAYED costs	pro	perly. Date requir	ed is the invest	igation	date; final date is	ity and ensure that the date of complia	ince.
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment	<u> </u>			0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs		1					
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$500			TOTAL		\$5

Screening Date		Docket No. 2012-2354-IWD-E	PCW
를 보고 있다는 것이 있는 전문에 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	Weatherford U.S., L.P.		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			
Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	6		
Rule Cite(s)			
1010 010(5)		4760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirement Admin. Code §§ 305.125(1) and 319.4	nts No. 1
Violation Description	Specifically, the operation I	omplete the discharge monitoring reports ("DMI ogs and the DMRs indicated daily flow meter reer than the flow data recorded during sampling.	adings of
		Base	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Propert	ty and Human Health Harm	Matrix	
Release	Major Moderate	Minor	
OR Actual			
Potential		Percent 0.0%	
>> Programmatic Matrix			
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	
		X Percent 1.0%	
Matrix			
Notes	More than 70% of	f the rule requirement was met.	
L			
		Adjustment	\$24,750
		•	
			\$250
Violation Events			
1101000011100		_	
Number of V	iolation Events 26	26 Number of violation	days
	de il	ন	
	daily weekly		
	monthly		
mark only one with an x	quarterly	Violation Base	Penalty \$6,500
WILL OF X	semiannual		
	annual		
	single event x		-
	Twenty-six single events	are recommended, one for each DMR.	10.700
L			
	10.00%	To de ute	\$650
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	Before NOV	Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$050
	Extraordinary Extraordinary		
	Ordinary	x	
	N/A	(mark with x)	Expression of the second of th
	The Resnor	ndent achieved compliance by December 10,	The production of the state of
	Notes	2012 for this violation.	Principalabers
			noore approved to the second s
		Violation	Subtotal \$5,850
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	Test
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$84 Violation Final Pena	ity Total \$10,184
	This vic	plation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$10,184

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.		J.S., L.P.	Salating and the Alexander of the Salating Salating Salating Salating Salating Salating Salating Salating Sala		a.com 3 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 8 8 8	akking kanang manang pagang akan pengagang pagamenah paganan sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai s	maganatini indigenta ta maganata a in ma
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Water Quality	i I				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
violation iso.	· ·			9.00		5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
	interest in the state of the st	This Linkson Taggers-Paraghteet, as Stranger as such	Bud Thems Bid damen of the Place at a sea and a	12-4-4-20-4-1-12-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-	MARCO 196 - 196 A C.	on the second the second secon	
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$250	17-Aug-2012	10-Dec-2012	0.32	\$4	n/a	\$4
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$650		10-Dec-2012	0.00	\$0 \$80	n/a n/a	\$0 \$80
Notes for DELAYED costs	all reporting p	rocedures are pro	perly accomplis	shed (\$	250) and to prope	t employee training rly prepare and sub ue and the investiga	mit the revised
			the final dates	are the	date of complian	ce.	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			or one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0 *0	<u>\$0</u> \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs		<u> </u>		0.00		30 .	30
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$900			TOTAL		\$84

Screening Dat	e 19-Nov-2012	PCW
		on 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No		evision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No		
Media [Statute		
	Jorge Ibarra, P.E.	
Violation Numb		
Rule Cite(TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 7.c.	
	and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1)	
	Failed to submit noncompliance notifications for any effluent violation which deviates	
Violation Description	from the permitted effluent limitation by greater than 40% in writing to the Regional	
	Base Penalty [\$25,000
>> Environmental, Prop	erty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	nhuminha sanda
Releas		re de constante de la constante de constante
OR Actu		MATERIAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY
Potent	al Percent 0.0%	N PARTY POST OF THE PARTY OF TH
>>Programmatic Mately		Abbonis
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	n Major Moderate Minor	v angramm
	X Percent 5.0%	of an all all and an all all all all all all all all all
		Active research
Name de la constant d		-
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Hotes		on the second se
	100 750	Accordance .
	Adjustment \$23,750	
		\$1,250
		T WYPE ALALA
Violation Events		aborn-re-have
	2 2 Number of violation days	of was fire of the
	Z Number of violation days	and Money for
	daily weekly	
	monthly	
mark only on with an x	quarterly Violation base Penalty	\$2,500
	semiannual	80.609
	annual	
	single event x	Manual Control of the
ſ		Programme and the second
T .	wo single events are recommended, one for each notification not submitted.	magne sayou na
		www.moneya
C15-:	1000	#3EA
Good Faith Efforts to Co	nply 10.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$250
	Extraordinary Extraordinary	and the second second
	Ordinary X	arrageous des
	N/A (mark with x)	-

	Notes The Respondent achieved compliance by December 13, 2012 for this violation.	
	ZUIZ IVI UNS VIVIAUVII.	
	Walaki - Oukasai!	#2 350
	Violation Subtotal	\$2,250
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	or this violation Statutory Limit Test	
	ated EB Amount \$4 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$3,917
Estim	ren en amount: %4: Vinizion Pinzi Menzity Intzi:	33.31/1
	THE PARTOUNT OF THE PARTON OF	1-/-

	E (conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media	45474					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.						reiteilt Iliterest	Depreciation
		9 4 15 2 2 3 5 5 1				5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$				el el el el		
Delayed Costs						al a said a	
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	5-Feb-2012	13-Dec-2012	0.85	\$4	n/a	\$4
Notes for DELAYED costs	the date the	first noncompliand for training	ce notification v	as due	e, and the final dat nomic benefit for v	per notification). Do not not not not not not not not not no	date. The cost
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before				
Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	 	i		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Screeni	ng Date	19-Nov-2012	Docket	No. 2012-2354-IWD-E		PCW
		Weatherford U.S., L.P.			,	on 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Refere	ID No.				PCVV	tevision August 3, 2011
Media [S	Statute]	Water Quality				
	rdinator . Number 🎚	Jorge Ibarra, P.E.				
1	le Cite(s)		004760000, Other Requ Code § 305.125	irements No. 6 and 30 Tex (1)	x. Admin.	
Violation De	escription			nt quality would meet tota tions becoming effective.	al copper	
				Base	e Penalty[\$25,000
>> Environmental	, Propert	y and Human Hea Harm				00000
	Release	Major Modera				The second process of
OR	Actual Potential	X		Percent 5.0%		Ammana
>>Programmatic I	Matrix Isification	Major Modera	te Minor			And principle of the state of t
	I I	riajo: Modera		Percent 0.0%		Domination and American
						One of the other of the other of the other of the other other of the other oth
Matrix	and to rep	ort the probability of me ts are not met could res	eting the next scheduled alt in the release of signi	report any remedial action I requirement when the co ificant amounts of pollutan environmental receptors a	ppper its which	
W 00	ila floc exce	ca levels that are protec	of the violation.	cirvii orinicircai receptoro a	.5 6 7 656.10	Octoberates
				Adjustment	\$23,750	on-schinover.
					420//30	\$1,250
					<u> </u>	\$1,250
Violation Events						1 Adversario Alexandro
0017174 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #			62	Number of violation of	days	положения
		daily	. 1			7000FallAdmanu w
we desired the second s		weekly				A to the designation of the second
	rk only one vith an x	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event		Violation Base	e Penalty [\$1,250
		Single event				
One	quarterly e		om the progress report on the progress report of the effective, September :	date of July 1, 2012, to the 1, 2012.	date the	
Good Faith Efforts	to Comp		% Reduction			\$0
TREE ALL PROPERTY AND A	F	Before NG Extraordinary	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement	nt Offer		asy-unit-balancery.
mmuma va opportungen op op		Ordinary				
- oper-oxygenyte		N/A ×	(mark with x)]		on province an annual service and an annual
		Notes The Resp	ondent does not meet ti this violatio	he good faith criteria for n.		
fried departmensorates				Violation	Subtotal [\$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	his violation		Statutory Limit	Test	and the second
TYPIANATATIPATE	Estimate	d EB Amount	\$527	Violation Final Pena	ity Total	\$2,085
		This	violation Final Assess	ed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits)	\$2,085
						, =, ===

Respondent) Case ID No. 4 Reg. Ent. Reference No. 6 Media) Violation No. 8	45474 RN102586088		i ku mangan pangan p				
Reg. Ent. Reference No. 1 Media 1	RN102586088				Programmed in the Control of the State of the Control of the Contr	Control of the Contro	
Reg. Ent. Reference No. 1 Media 1	RN102586088						
Media \							
	water Quanty						Years of
	, ,					Percent Interest	Depreciation
		atterna ka wakea wa			at Misesani i jede	5.0	15
	*********	Bara Barutana	Elusi Mata	V	Interest Saved	Agrange and the second	EB Amount
		Date Required	rillai Date	115	Interest Javeu	Unetime Costs	
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	91-17-16						
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs							
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterii	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	led costs)
Avoided Costs Disposal	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	7	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	ZE 1 avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	ZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Personn	\$500	IZE [1] avoided	1-Sep-2012	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date	19-Nov-2012	Docket No. 2012-2354-IWD-E	PCW
	Weatherford U.S., L.P.		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)	I PDES PERMIT NO. WOOL	004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requireme x. Admin. Code §§ 305.125(1) and 319.7(d)	nts No. 1
Violation Description		e monthly DMRs by the 20th day of the following ne months of July and August 2012.	month for
		Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper			
Release	Harm Major Moderat		
OR Actual			
Potential		Percent 0.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	9 7 - 1 4 - 1 4 - 1 4 - 1 4 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1 4 5 - 1		CONTRACTOR
Falsification	Major Moderat	e Minor X Percent 1.0%	v que la composition de la com
<u> </u>	1		
Matrix	Mara than 700/		Yellolin Arabah
Notes	More than 70%	of the rule requirement was met.	The state of the s
<u> </u>			
		Adjustment	\$24,750
THE PROPERTY AND THE PR			\$250
Violation Events			
	Violation Events 2	60 Number of violation	days
Hamber of			
	daily weekly		
· ·	monthly		
mark only one with an x	quarterly	Violation Base	Penalty \$500
	semiannual annual	_	
	single event x		
			1
	Two single	e events are recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com		% Reduction	\$50
The second secon	Extraordinary Before NO	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
WOCT-000170000	Ordinary	×	
T-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P-P	N/A	(mark with x)	
	Notes The Resp	pondent achieved compliance by December 10, 2012 for this violation.	
		Violation	
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Pena	ilty Total \$783
	This	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$783

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	45474 RN102586088 Water Quality	.S., L.P.	en Constitution and Confidence described			Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation ito,						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved		EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs	no la Hara ta Regional de la Caractería de		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a -/-	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$50	20-Aug-2012	10.04.2012	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	<u>\$0</u> \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		ce notification wa	s due, and the	inal da		required is the date ompliance. The cost No. 6.	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL						
		IZE [1] avoided	costs before			or one-time avoid	ed costs)
Disposal	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel		ZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling		ZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		ZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		ZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

PCW		Screening Date
ion 3 (September 2011)		그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그
Revision August 3, 2011		Case ID No.
		Reg. Ent. Reference No.
		Media [Statute]
		Enf. Coordinator
1	10	Violation Number
	TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Other Requirements No. 8 and 30 Tex. Admin.	Rule Cite(s)
	Code § 305.125(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1)	
	Failed to obtain authorization to discharge wastewater into water in the state. Specifically, contaminated storm water discharges from the eastern and western side of the Facility through storm water pipes during rain events. Additionally, some contaminated storm water on the eastern side of the property is not contained nor routed through any storm water pipe and can sheet flow off the eastern side of the facility during rainfall events.	Violation Description
\$25,000	Base Penalty[
	ty and Human Health Matrix	> Environmental, Proper
	Harm Major Mederate Minor	Dalanaa
		OR Release
		Potential
		· occinida
		>Programmatic Matrix
	Major Moderate Minor	Falsification
	x Percent 5.0%	
· i		
ı	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	Matrix Notes
	Adjustment \$23,750	
\$1,250		
\$1,230	in the second of	
		iolation Events
		iolation Events
	Violation Events 4 94 Number of violation days	Number of V
\$5,000	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual Violation Base Penalty	mark only one with an x
	annual	·
	single event	
	ly events are recommended from the investigation date of August 17, 2012 to the screening date of November 19, 2012.	Four month
\$0	0.0% Reduction	ood Faith Efforts to Com
,	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
\$5,000	Violation Subtotal	
	this violation Statutory Limit Test	conomic Benefit (EB) for
\$8,339	ed EB Amount \$323 Violation Final Penalty Total	
	Same (Annual Contract of Contr	
\$8,339	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	

		conomic	Dellellr	YYU	IVALLEEL		
Respondent	Weatherford (J.S., L.P.	Consideration (Section 2)			The second district of the second	
Case ID No.	45474						
ea. Ent. Reference No.	RN102586088	}					
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$		Na Santa				
	en e Stadious de La partició de la section d	e en la lactina a sentimbra de la comunicación	NEW YORK SERVICE STATE OF THE S	45.745/C/807	man der der de entre de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de l	Action of the Land Office and National Control	actival complete control vicinity of the
Delayed Costs			A SOMETHING				
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$6,500	17-Aug-2012	15-Aug-2013	0.99	\$323	n/a	\$323
	H			0.00	¢Λ	n/a	¢Ω
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap I the fir	plication to include nal date is the exp	e storm water discha ected date of compli	irges. Date lance.
•	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap I the fir	plication to include nal date is the exp	e storm water discha	irges. Date lance.
Notes for DELAYED costs	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap I the fir enterir 0.00	plication to includenal date is the exp ig item (except \$0	e storm water discha ected date of compli for one-time avoic \$0	arges. Date iance.
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap the firentering 0.00	plication to include nat date is the exp ag item (except \$0 \$0	e storm water discharged date of compliance date of	arges. Date lance.
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap the fir 0.00 0.00 0.00	plication to include the place of the place	e storm water discharged date of compliance date of	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap the fir 0.00 0.00 0.00	plication to include nal date is the exp ag item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e storm water discha ected date of compli for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	arges. Date lance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap the fir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	plication to include nal date is the exp ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e storm water discharged date of compliance date of	larges. Date lance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap the fire 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	plication to include nal date is the exp ag item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e storm water discharged date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	singes. Date lance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap the fir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	plication to include nal date is the exp ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e storm water discharged date of compliance date of	larges. Date lance. So
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	requ	ired is the investi	gation date, and	ent ap the fire 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	plication to include nal date is the exp ag item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e storm water discharged date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	singes. Date lance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Weatherford U.S., L.P. RN102586088, Case No. 45474, TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000 Docket No. 2012-2354-IWD-E

	January 2012	February 2012	March 2012	April 2012	May 2012	July 2012	August 17, 2012*	August 2012	September 2012
CBOD Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 10 mg/L)	c	С	10.2	С	c	С	c	c	c
CBOD Daily Avg. Loading (Limit = 0.9 lbs/day)	С	С	1.01	с	c	с	с	С	e
CBOD Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 2.2 lbs/day)	С	С	2.99	2.37	c	с	e	с	c
Cl2 Residual Min. Conc. (Limit = 1 mg/L)	c	e	c	С	c	0.18	c	с	c
Flow Daily Avg. (Limit = 0.0108 MGD)	c	С	c	c	c	0.0144	c	c	c
Flow Daily Max. (Limit = 0.0432 MGD	С	С	С	с	c	1171	С	e	e
NH3N Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 2 mg/L)	6.33	c	11.42	4.15	, c	2.7	c	5	10
NH3N Daily Avg. Loading (Limit = 0.18 lbs/day)	С	С	0.65	0.34	E	0.28	c	с	0.35
NH3N Daily Max. Conc. (Limit = 10 mg/L)	27	С	29.3	10.5	c	c	12.5	16	23
NH3N Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 0.9 lbs/day	С	С	4.69	3.15	c .	2	С	С	0.97
TSS Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 15 mg/L) TSS Daily Avg. Loading	С	21.7	19.3	39	15.2	С	С	С	53
(Limit = 1.4 lbs/day	c	1.42	1.93	8.81	С	С	c	С	1.9
TSS Daily Max. Conc. (Limit = 40 mg/L)	С	51	c	108	с	С	c	c	91
TSS Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 3.6 lbs/day)	С	4.25	3.95	32.43	c	c	С	с	С
pH Min. (Limit = 6 su)	с	c	с	c	С	С	3.55	c	С
Total Copper Daily Avg. Loading (Limit = 0.002 lbs/day)	С	c	c	с	c	С	c	c	0.00288
Total Copper Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 0.023 mg/L)	С	e	c .	С	c	c	c	c	0.0723
Total Copper Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 0.004 lbs/day)	С	c	e	С	С	С	c	С	00051
Total Copper Daily Max. Conc.	с	С	С	c	c	с	c	С	0.13
(Limit = 0.049 mg/L)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		<u></u>	1	1		1

MGD = million gallons per day lbs/day = pounds per day mg/L = milligrams per liter c = compliant Conc.= concentration Avg. = Average Max.= maximum Min. = Minimum NH3N = ammonia nitrogen Cl2 = chlorine TSS = total suspended solids CBOD = carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand su = standard units * = indicates a grab sample collected during the investigation

				v
•				
	,			

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600288302, RN102586088, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, or CN600288302, Weatherford U.S., L.P.

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 3.28

Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN102586088, WEATHERFORD US

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 5.47

Complexity Points:

12

Repeat Violator:

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

Located approximately 0.75 miles west of U.S. Highway 290 and two miles east of Eldridge Road on Spencer

Road, HOUSTON, TX 77041-3000, HARRIS COUNTY

TCEO Region:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON

ID Number(s):

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY REGISTRATION 1011850

WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0089940

WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0014070001

WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0004760000

WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0089940

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID TXD102692241

NO

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLID WASTE

REGISTRATION # (SWR) 38164

Compliance History Period:

September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date: 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared:

January 23, 2013

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Component Period Selected:

January 23, 2008 to January 23, 2013

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Jorge Ibarra, P.E.

Phone

(817) 588-5890

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

owner(s)/operator(s)?

occur?

Weatherford U.S., L.P., OWNER, 1/1/1800 to 2/8/2010

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 11/27/2009

ADMINORDER 2009-0865-IWD-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rgmt Prov: Effluent Limits PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with permit effluent limits as documented by a TCEQ record review of self-reported data.

Criminal convictions:

N/A

Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1	February 13, 2008	(675662)
Item 2	March 14, 2008	(675663)
Item 3	April 17, 2008	(675664)
Item 4	May 18, 2008	(693980)
Item 5	June 16, 2008	(693981)
Item 6	July 16, 2008	(693982)
Item 7	August 15, 2008	(715320)
Item 8	September 23, 2008	(715321)
Item 9	October 17, 2008	(715322)
Item 10	February 20, 2009	(754406)
Item 11	March 21, 2009	(754407)
Item 12	April 20, 2009	(754408)
Item 13	May 20, 2009	(771668)
Item 14	June 13, 2009	(771669)
Item 15	July 24, 2009	(928686)
Item 16	August 21, 2009	(928687)
Item 17	September 22, 2009	(928688)
Item 18	October 20, 2009	(815079)
Item 19	November 18, 2009	(815080)
Item 20	December 17, 2009	(815081)
Item 21	January 07, 2010	(815082)
Item 22	February 05, 2010	(788622)
Item 23	May 15, 2010	(834485)
Item 24	June 12, 2010	(847380)
Item 25	July 17, 2010	(861816)
Item 26	August 18, 2010	(868240)
Item 27	September 17, 2010	(875152)
Item 28	October 16, 2010	(882767)
Item 29	November 13, 2010	(889170)
Item 30	December 18, 2010	(897549)
Item 31	February 17, 2011	(910355)
Item 32	March 19, 2011	(917567)
Item 33	April 17, 2011	(928685)
Item 34	May 18, 2011	(939278)
Item 35	June 16, 2011	(946683)
Item 36	July 15, 2011	(953941)
Item 37	August 19, 2011	(960534)
Item 38	September 19, 2011	(966592)
Item 39	October 19, 2011	(972604)
Item 40	November 17, 2011	(978748)
Item 41	December 20, 2011	(985584)
Item 42	January 18, 2012	(991864)
Item 43	July 18, 2012	(1032807)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Date: 01/31/2012 (999229) CN600288302

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

2 Date: 02/29/2012 (1004738) CN600288302

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

3 03/31/2012 (1011322)Date:

04/30/2012

05/31/2012

08/31/2012

Date:

Date:

Date:

Classification: Self Report? YES

CN600288302

CN600288302

CN600288302

CN600288302

CN600288302

Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

(1017681)

Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

(1025469)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

07/31/2012 6 Date: Classification: Moderate Self Report?

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

YES Classification: Moderate Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 09/30/2012 CN600288302

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Environmental audits:

N/A

5

7

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
WEATHERFORD U.S., L.P.	§	
RN102586088	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-2354-IWD-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("the Commission" or "TCEQ")	considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an
enforcement action regarding We	atherford U.S., L.P. ("Respondent") under the authority of
TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. T	he Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement
Division, and the Respondent prese	ented this agreement to the Commission.

The Respondent understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a research and development facility with an associated wastewater treatment plant located approximately 0.75 mile west of United States Highway 290 and two miles east of Eldridge Road on Spencer Road in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has discharged industrial waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under Tex. Water Code ch. 26.
- 3. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012 and a record review conducted on October 22, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not comply with permitted effluent limits for Outfall No. 001 as shown in the table below:

Weatherford U.S., L.P. RN102586088, Case No. 45474, TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000 Docket No. 2012-2354-IWD-E

	January 2012	February 2012	March 2012	April 2012	May 2012	July 2012	August 17, 2012*	August 2012	September 2012
CBOD Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 10 mg/L)	е	с	10.2	c	c	С	c	С	. с
CBOD Daily Avg. Loading (Limit = 0.9 lbs/day)	c	с	1.01	с	c	с	С	c	c
CBOD Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 2.2 lbs/day)	с	с	2.99	2.37	c	c	c	c	c
Cl2 Residual Min. Conc. (Limit = 1 mg/L)	c	c	, e	c	c	0.18	c	c	c
Flow Daily Avg. (Limit = 0.0108 MGD)	С	с	c	С	с	0.0144	с	c	c
Flow Daily Max. (Limit = 0.0432 MGD	С	c	С	С	c	1171	c	c	c
NH3N Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 2 mg/L)	6.33	c	11.42	4.15	С	2.7	c	5	10
NH3N Daily Avg. Loading (Limit = 0.18 lbs/day)	С	С	0.65	0.34	С	0.28	С	c	0.35
NH3N Daily Max. Conc. (Limit = 10 mg/L)	27	С	29.3	10.5	С	С	12.5	16	23
NH3N Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 0.9 lbs/day	С	c	4.69	3.15	c ·	2	c	c	0.97
TSS Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 15 mg/L)	c	21.7	19.3	39	15.2	С	С	С	53
TSS Daily Avg. Loading (Limit = 1.4 lbs/day	с	1.42	1.93	8.81	c	c	c	С	1.9
TSS Daily Max. Conc. (Limit = 40 mg/L)	с	51	c	108	с	С	c	С	91
TSS Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 3.6 lbs/day)	c	4.25	3.95	32.43	c	С	С	c	с
pH Min. (Limit = 6 su)	c	С	С	С	С	С	3-55	С	С
Total Copper Daily Avg. Loading (Limit = 0.002 lbs/day)	С	С	c	c	c	c	c	c	0.00288
Total Copper Daily Avg. Conc. (Limit = 0.023 mg/L)	c	С	с	c	c	С	c	С	0.0723
Total Copper Daily Max. Loading (Limit = 0.004 lbs/day)	С	С	С	С	с	С	С	С	00051
Total Copper Daily Max. Conc. (Limit = 0.049 mg/L)	С	С	С	с	С	С	С	c	0.13

MGD = million gallons per day lbs/day = pounds per day mg/L = milligrams per liter c = compliant Conc.= concentration Avg. = Average Max.= maximum Min. = Minimum NH3N = ammonia nitrogen Cl2 = chlorine TSS = total suspended solids CBOD = carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand su = standard units * = indicates a grab sample collected during the investigation

- 4. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not submit a notice of change and did not obtain a permit amendment when a significant change in the quantity and/or quality of the existing discharge occurs. Specifically, influent varies significantly indicating that a very high organic load is coming into the Facility. Additionally, the influent samples collected on October 26, 2011 and August 17, 2012 contained a high concentration of chemical oxygen demand and a few volatiles and semi-volatiles which requires a permit amendment.
- 5. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not provide at least 20 minutes (based on peak flow) of detention time in the chlorine contact chamber. Specifically, a dye test conducted on September 19, 2012 indicated that there was 34 seconds of detention time at a flow of 0.01 MGD to 0.021 MGD. The daily average flow limit is 0.0108 MGD and the daily maximium flow is 0.0432 MGD.
- 6. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not install the flow measurement device in accordance with the Water Measurement Manual, United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation. Specifically, the two staff gauges on the weir box were not installed 4-6 times the measuring head upstream from the center of the weir blade. Additionally, the gauges were measuring a one inch difference from each other.
- 7. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not accurately complete the discharge monitoring reports ("DMRs"). Specifically, the operation logs and the DMRs indicated daily flow meter readings of 0.0007 MGD rather than the flow data recorded during sampling.
- 8. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not submit noncompliance notifications for any effluent violation which deviates from the permitted effluent limitation by greater than 40% in writing to the Regional Office and the Enforcement Division within five working days of becoming aware of the noncompliance. Specifically, the noncompliance notifications for the ammonia nitrogen exceedances were not submitted for the monitoring periods of January 2012 and July 2012.
- 9. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not take remedial actions to ensure effluent quality would meet total copper limits prior to the final effluent limitations becoming effective.
- 10. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not timely submit the monthly DMRs by the 20th day of the following month for the months of July and August 2012.
- 11. During an investigation on August 16, 2012 through October 17, 2012, TCEQ staff documented that contaminated storm water discharges from the eastern and western

side of the Facility through storm water pipes during rain events. Additionally, some contaminated storm water on the eastern side of the property is not contained nor routed through any storm water pipe and can sheet flow off the eastern side of the facility during rainfall events.

- 12. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. By October 19, 2012, submitted the monthly DMRs for the months of July and August 2012;
 - b. By October 24, 2012, replaced the flow measurement device at the Facility;
 - c. By December 10, 2012:
 - i. Updated the Facility's operational guidance and conducted employee training to ensure that self-reporting requirements are properly accomplished including the timely submittal of signed certified monthly DMRs, and written reports for effluent violations which deviate by more than 40% from the permitted limit; and
 - ii. Submitted revised DMRs for the period of May 2010 through June 2012.
 - d. By December 13, 2012:
 - i. Submitted the noncompliance notifications for the ammonia nitrogen exceedance for the monitoring periods of January 2012 and July 2012; and
 - ii. Submitted an administratively complete application for a permit amendment for TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, to include any modifications to the collection system and any unauthorized storm water discharges, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN CODE ch. 305.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the Respondent failed to comply with permitted effluent limits for Outfall No. 001, in violation of Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0004760000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1, 2, and 3, 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a).

- 3. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 4, the Respondent failed to submit a notice of change and to obtain a permit amendment when a significant change in the quantity and/or quality of the existing discharge occurs, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Permit Conditions No. 4.d. and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1).
- 4. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 5, the Respondent failed to provide at least 20 minutes (based on peak flow) of detention time in the chlorine contact chamber, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 2 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1).
- 5. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 6, the Respondent failed to install the flow measurement device in accordance with the Water Measurement Manual, United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Operational Requirements No. 5 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and 319.11(d).
- 6. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 7, the Respondent failed to accurately complete the discharge monitoring reports DMRs, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and 319.4.
- 7. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 8, the Respondent failed to submit noncompliance notifications for any effluent violation which deviates from the permitted effluent limitation by greater than 40% in writing to the Regional Office and the Enforcement Division within five working days of becoming aware of the noncompliance, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 7.c. and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1).
- 8. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 9, the Respondent failed to take remedial actions to ensure effluent quality would meet total copper limits prior to the final effluent limitations becoming effective, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Other Requirements No. 6 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1).
- 9. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 10, the Respondent failed to timely submit the monthly DMRs by the 20th day of the following month for the months of July and August 2012, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 1 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and 319.7(d).
- As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 11, the Respondent failed to obtain authorization to discharge wastewater into water in the state, in violation of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Other Requirements No. 8 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a).
- 11. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of the Texas Water Code

and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.

12. An administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-Nine Thousand Three Hundred Two Dollars (\$49,302) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053. The Respondent has paid the Forty-Nine Thousand Three Hundred Two Dollar (\$49,302) administrative penalty.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-Nine Thousand Three Hundred Two Dollars (\$49,302) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 12 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Weatherford U.S., L.P., Docket No. 2012-2354-IWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Determine what the sources are for the high concentration of chemical oxygen demand and volatiles and semi-volatiles in the influent as indicated during the samples taken on October 26, 2011 and August 17, 2012 and submit a notice of change accordingly, in accordance with TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Permit Conditions; and
 - ii. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit application within 30 days after the date of such requests or by any other deadline specified in writing.

- b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. as described in Ordering Provision No. 2.e. below;
- c. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations as described in Ordering Provision No. 2.e. below;
- d. Within 105 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin providing 20 minutes of detention time in the chlorine contact chamber, in accordance with TPDES Permit No. WQ0004760000, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements; and
- e. Within 120 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records certifying that a permit amendment has been obtained and with Ordering Provision No. 2.d. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the Respondent if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 7. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 8. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1)

enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 9. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 10. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142.

Weatherford U.S., L.P.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

	For the Commission	
	Pamordanera 7/19/13	
	For the Executive Director Date	
	I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order in the matter of Weatherford U.S., L.P. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of Weatherford U.S., L.P., and do agree to the specified terms and conditions. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.	
	I understand that by entering into this Agreed Order, Weatherford U.S., L.P. waives certain procedural rights, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations addressed by this Agreed Order, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and the right to appeal. I agree to the terms of the Agreed Order in lieu of an evidentiary hearing. This Agreed Order constitutes full and final adjudication by the Commission of the violations set forth in this Agreed Order.	
	 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution. 	
(Signature Signature Date Date Vice President and Assistant Sector Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	etan

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section III, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.